Academic Assembly February 27, 2012 2:05-3:35pm MINUTES

Present:David Arnesen, Brenda Broussalvbary Rose Bumpus, Carol Wolfe Clay, Karen Feldt, Paul Fontana, Terry Foster, Jan Har(fey Kristen Shuyler)Sonora Jha, Tina Johnson, William KangasCharles Lawrence, Kristi Lee, Michael Matriotti, Sean McDowell, Jacquelyn Miller, Rob Rutherford, Chris Stipe, John Strait, Jeremy Stringer, Eric (Styp)Alanna Welsh, John Weaver, Jason Wirth

Excused: Isiaah Crawfordristen Shuyler, Alanna Welsh

Minutes taken by Rosa Hughes.

- I. Review of 26-12 Minutes
 - A. Minutesapprovedwith no corrections.
- II. Program Review Guidelines Proposed Revision Draft
 - A. Many program reviews submitted had a lack of focus due to the unclear former guidelines.
 - B. Moving to a fiveyear cycle for program review process.
 - C. Revision is shaped around a planning process for programs.
 - 1. Assess current strengths and weaknesses.
 - 2. Identify challenges and opportunities moving forward.
 - D. Outline is primarily for nonexternally accredited programs or externally accredited programs, the pogram will point PRC to where each question on the guidelines is answered within the external accreditation report.
 - E. There is an emphasis or documentation.
 - 1. Student feedback: is the programme paring students in the was they need
 - 2. Program data requirements.
 - F. The new program review process will streamline ongoing accreditation and assessment data collections ummarize the yearly assessment reports.
 - G. Approval process for new guidelines: AcA, then Deans' Coitinsoigh (if cant changes, back to AcAthen Provost.

H.

ualifications: should be a tenured **me**er, hopefully from CoE (as disqualified as).

rocess: There are 7 members of comment The grievant and the other side can ach strike up to 2 members, leaving at leastanding.

- D. Time commitment: Threspear appointment. There is the possibility for future grievances, but not a high probability is the first grievance in over 5 pers).
- E. Jeremy Stringer and Kristi Lee will nominate someone from CoE.
- F. AcA will vote at the next meeting.
- IV. Feedback on Faculty Lounge
 - A. The general faculty opinion is that the space shoreholain available for faculty.
 - B. Benefits of the space
 - 1. Not crowded.
 - 2. Only dedicated area where faculty can meet with each other (in the past there was Casey Commons and several other areas).
 - 3. Use it as a recruiting tool for faculty.
 - C. Counts are done throughout the library.
 - 1. Tables
 - 2. Carrels.
 - 3. Lounges
 - D. Suggestions from Faculty
 - 1. The spae is lacking carte.
 - 2. The furniture is too tall for shorter faculty.
 - 3. Look for opportunities to advertise it, such as faculty reception.
 - 4. Add other bookcases to that area where faculty catore books.
 - 5. Add the Chronicle in paper copy to the lounge.
 - 6. If it is opened up to students, consider making it a graduate colloquium to free space in STM (current graduate colloquium space).
- V. Feedback on Health and Financial Plans
 - A. Premera Issues
 - 1. New plan was billed as a lower cost, but not seeing any difference.
 - 2. Nutritionists and other wellness costs
 - 3. More expensive for single people.
 - 4. Slightly progressive based upon pay rate.
 - 5. Some employees are required tower a floating fee for outstanding bills until the new provider will cover.
 - 6. Medications are not covered under the new plan which were covered under the old plan. Medical personnel need to write the letter explaining why name brand is preferred to generic.

7.

- B. Group Health Issues
 - 1. The amount that was withdrawn in Januawas almost twice as much as expected for some, althoughewere promised the same rate.
- C. SUpremiumsare predicted byusing last year's data and a five year overlay.
- D. Add to agenda next time aridvite JerryHuffman
- VI. Update on Retirement 403(MGuests: Matt Phillip and Jerry Huffman)
 - A. Committee formed to undertakgovernance of employees' 403(b) plan
 - 1. Members: Jerry Huffman (chair), Joe Phillips, John Eshelman, future CFO.
 - 2. At large (advisory, nomoting) members: Kim Crewey, Jot Yau.

- 3. Approved by BoT.
- 4. Committee members have the duty of care of act with prudence that of participants and are legally responsible for their decisions.
- B. Committee cose Fidelity to be the cord keeper, fective June
 - 1. Reduced fees from 34 to 15 basis points.
 - 2. Starting in August, statements will show those fees for the first time
 - 3. Legacy TIA&REF accounts will not be affected.
 - 4. After June 1, new inversents will map to the new array
 - 5. Fidelity options will be limited to what they were in the past.
- C. 4-Tier Investment Array
 - 1. Tier 1 Agebased target date funds
 - 2. Tier 2 -Low cost inde funds that track market return
 - 3. Tier 3 Actively managed funds
 - 4. Tier 4 Socially responsible funds

