

- a. Termination would not have been proposed if not presented with the budget cut, was not part of strategic planning process as recommended last year by Program Review Committee
 - b. Dean, not department, made the final decision
 - c. Issue was not revisited after the budget cut was reduced and funds were reallocated back to the college under an unclear process
- C. Program Review Committee Memo
 - 1. Recommended a phased program termination with reservations, since several members were conflicted
 - 2. Also recommended college develop strategic planning that would ensure budget does not motivate program suspensions or terminations
- D. Dean's response (**David Powers**)
 - 1. Budget cut process
 - a. Dean submitted 5% budget cut to Provost's Office
 - b. Provost's Office returned ed