

Academic Assembly  
October 2, 2017  
2:05–3:35pm, STCN 130

MINUTES

Present: Pat Buchsel, Felipe Castillo, Terri Clark, Mark Cohan, Marc Cohen, Miles Coleman, Carlos de Mello e Souza, Allison Gibbons, Arie Greenleaf, Leticia Guadalupe, Kathleen La Voy, Charles Lawrence, Emily Lieb, Michael Ng, Katie Oliveras, Erik Olsen, Stan, Gregory Silverman, Julianne Slate, Colette Taylor, Kirsten Thompson

Minutes taken by Rosa Hughes

- I. Review 925-17 Minutes
  - A. Approved with no abstentions or oppositions
- II. Update on Matteo Ricci College
  - A. Task Force report
    1. Overview
      - a. Submitted last spring, discussed at Assembly, and task force report
      - b. Full time faculty jobs in MRC need to include tenure track
    4. Institutional migration to CAS
      - a. Task force members came to personal conclusions about v this regard, but the task force official report acknowledged autonomy and integration
      - b. Still some energy amongst faculty to remain an independent many considerations that speak to the strength of integration
      - c. Intentionally vague in task force report did not make a record or the other about integration





14. Access to data
15. Provost search next steps?

B. Next steps

1. In considering these items, we need to ask what is actionable?
2. Develop a broader set of priorities that encompass related issues perhaps: campus climate, budget and governance, pay and faculty/staff quality of life
3. Need to develop a strategy to approach these with action items this year
4. We had a resolution from AcA a few years ago for greater budget transparency and never followed through on our end
5. Faculty handbooks at the college level need to focus on college level governance
6. Need data on tenure track to note tenure track faculty ratio across university by college and by gender
7. Need to be preparing proactively for budget cuts and potential strategic reorganization based on AAOR report

V. Preview of Dean's Evaluation reporting on Oct 9, 2017

A. Overview

1. AcA subcommittee was first going to create their own evaluation instrument/process
2. As time went on and the work progressed, it became clear that an outside consultant