



4. The library does not have a position, but was approached by GSC with this proposal
  - a. Data presented is drawn from two library usage studies
  - b. General goal of the library is to best serve all users and optimize space in the library
- C. Discussion
  1. Concern that the amount of dedicated grad student space is more than the faculty dedicated space
  2. Historical proposal
    - a. Years ago faculty lost the Casey Commons faculty lounge
    - b. When the library was renovated, the new faculty lounge was dedicated to faculty only
    - c. Want to be collegial, but it can be a slippery slope
  3. Morale issues
    - a. Faculty need to feel like we have one space dedicated to shared work
    - b. Value to space for faculty that goes beyond the number of bodies at any given time
    - c. The needs of faculty to use the lounge vary greatly depending upon where they work, tenure status, etc.
    - d. For faculty of color in A&S, office space becomes a place where students look for you
    - e. Even the events in the space have been problematic for faculty
    - f. The social aspect of the lounge is also very important
  4. Concern with the data presented
    - a. Some of the counts do not include known usage
    - b. Concerning that individual users are tracked by name
    - c. Data is not tied to specific names, those were just anecdotal names
    - d. Usage is done by walk around counts at regular intervals (every two hours), may not count everyone
    - e. Even those who stay for less than two hours should be counted, some people have regular standing 30 minute meetings in the space that may not show up on counts but speak to important usage
  - 5.a Time aspect of proposal
    - a. Proposal is only for the evening hours, after 5:00pm

- V. ITS Updates *Chris Van Liew*
  - A. Previous Academic Assembly requests
    - 1.

