

October 7, 2019  
2:05 – 3:35pm, STCN 130

---

Present: Bryan Adamson, Sarah Bee, Pat Buchsel, Mimi Cheng, Terri Clark, Marc Cohen, Yancy Dominick, Theresa Earenfight, Nicole Harrison, Nalini Iyer, Angie Jenkins, Kathleen La Voy, Shane P. Martin, Margit McGuire, Patrick Murphy, Michael Ng, Katie Oliveras, Chris Paul, Russ Powell, Frank Shih, Heath Spencer, Colette Taylor, Mark Taylor, Kirsten Thompson

*Minutes taken by Rosa Hughes*

Review of Minutes 6-3-19 and 9-30-19

A. 6-3-19

1. Motion to approve, vote: 7 approve, 0 oppose, 11 abstain

B. 9-30-19

1. Motion to approve, vote: 15 approve, 0 oppose, 3 abstain

Provost Update

A.

1. *Appear at a university-wide community meeting to be called as soon as possible to discuss this matter,*
2. *Clarify what policy he suggests has justified this action, and*
3. *Rescind these actions and restore all references to Planned Parenthood to sites in which they were removed*

C. Discussion

1. One of the most viable healthcare service providers in the area for our students
2. Spirit of the motion is similar to the community support around drag show previously
3. Broader issue: what does it mean to work at a Jesuit and Catholic university?
4. Nothing in materials received as a prospective faculty member indicated a conflict of interest with organizations such as Planned Parenthood
5. A matter of faculty and student welfare and firmly within the purview of AcA
6. Infringement of academic freedom to restrict the provision of services to students
7. Important issue for the Law School because there are students who have wanted to do work at Planned Parenthood in the past
8. Some colleagues have only just found out about this – no time to consult constituents and stakeholders prior to this discussion
9. College of Nursing has placed students in clinicals and has been in this discussion for years
10. Midwifery program has walked a delicate balance between accreditation requirements and backlash against clinical placements by conservative outside groups
11. Exterior forces monitoring the university that have historically caused issues
12. Profound impact for students and programs
13. Worry about framing issues – President chose to make an issue out of this, we cannot censure our response
14. One of the initial emails from Students for Life of America listed a number of areas of concern across campus (student development, CAS, Law school, etc.)
15. Planned Parenthood is not only about abortion
16. This was a decision that should have been discussed, if we are going to have shared governance, what are the processes and procedures for when these questions come up?
17. External groups taking professors' words out of context and using them to attack academic freedom
18. Worry about the effect of pursuing a very righteous stance: higher scrutiny and less access, both in services to students and academic programming
19. Mission Examen process revealed that we agree to hire for mission, but there are mixed views of what this means: academic development of students, spiritual development of students, faculty who are Catholic
20. Agree with the ask to clarify what policy was used to justify the action
21. Worry about students in crisis and access to information
22. As a Christian university, we are called to provide information and rely on people to make their own choices based upon their own conscience
23. SGSU first half of meeting tomorrow is open to public comment, including further information from the Spectator if they have it, also have a resolution that will be finalized after the meeting
- 24.

26. President definitively said no to the question of editin(ed)-0 u6